

# The impact of the preoperative red blood cell distribution width on weight loss prediction after sleeve gastrectomy



Ann. Ital. Chir., 2023 94, 3: 262-267  
pii: S0003469X23038836

Kamil Erozkhan, Ozgur Firat, Batuhan Demir, Safa Vatansever,  
Taylan Ozgur Sezer, Sinan Ersin

Department of Surgery, Division of Upper Gastrointestinal Tract, Ege University Hospital, Ege University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

## The impact of the preoperative red blood cell distribution width on weight loss prediction after sleeve gastrectomy

**AIM:** We aimed to evaluate whether RDW has a predictive impact on weight loss after obesity surgery.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** This was a single-institution retrospective study. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to determine the variables affecting the %EBMIL and %EWL values. Surgery of patients with %EBMIL > 50% and %EWL > 25% was considered successful.

**RESULTS:** Hundred and ten patients were included in the study. The %EBMIL and %EWL values of patients with RDW > 14.5% were lower. In the evaluation of successful surgeries, the probability of failure for those with RDW > 14.5% is 10.93 times higher for %EBMIL and 21.55 times for %EWL.

**DISCUSSION:** After the linear regression analysis, it was observed that the %EBMIL and %EWL were higher in the patients whose RDW values were 14.5 and below in the preoperative period. In these patients, it is an indication that more weight loss has occurred. Similar to our study, Wise et al. showed that weight loss was greater with a decrease in the RDW value<sup>10</sup>.

**CONCLUSION:** Preoperative RDW value can be used as a predictor of weight loss and surgical success. It can provide an idea about whether the patient will lose enough weight and the success of the surgery.

**KEY WORDS:** Prediction, RDW, Sleeve Gastrectomy

## Introduction

Morbid obesity is a common health problem all over the world<sup>1</sup>. Many treatment methods for morbid obesity have been applied from past to present and it is a challenge to predict which treatment method will be suc-

cessful. Bariatric surgery is a major surgery. sometimes can cause serious complications even though providing weight loss<sup>2</sup>. Although not as much as the future mortality and morbidity of obesity, bariatric surgery can sometimes cause mortality and morbidity<sup>2,3</sup>. In addition, a clear predictive value showing how much benefit the operation will provide has not yet been revealed. There are many studies to predict weight loss, and these studies generally preferred inflammatory parameters<sup>4,5</sup>. Another inflammatory parameter is erythrocyte distribution width (Red Cell Distribution Width - RDW). RDW is considered as an indicator of both chronic inflammation and oxidative stress<sup>6,7</sup>. Many studies have been reported that RDW is a prognostic parameter in many diseases.

In our study, we aimed to evaluate whether RDW has a predictive impact on weight loss after obesity surgery.

Pervenuto in Redazione Settembre 2022. Accettato per la pubblicazione Novembre 2022

Correspondence to: Taylan Ozgur Sezer, Associate professor MD, (e-mail: taylansazer@yahoo.com.tr)

## Material and Methods

This was a single-institution retrospective study. Ethics committee approval was obtained. Patients evaluated by the Bariatric Surgery Council between June 2011 and June 2019, eligible for surgery and underwent sleeve gastrectomy due to morbid obesity, were included in the study. Data were obtained by scanning electronic patient files. Patients who were referred to medical treatment, who underwent a bariatric surgical procedure other than sleeve gastrectomy, and who underwent revision surgery were excluded from the study.

Baseline variables to be evaluated statistically; age, gender, comorbidity status, preoperative body mass index (BMI) and follow-up were determined. The independent variables were determined as preoperative CRP value, preoperative RDW value, and other hemogram parameters that we think may affect weight loss such as neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and lymphocyte/monocyte ratios (LMR). Dependent variables were determined as % excess BMI loss (%EBMIL) and %excess weight loss (%EWL). At least two-year follow-ups were taken into account. It was recorded whether the patients came to regular follow-up. In these two years, the lowest weight and BMI were determined and %EBMIL and %EWL were calculated with these values.

Data were evaluated in the statistical package program of IBM SPSS Statistics Standard Concurrent User V 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA). Multiple linear regression analysis was used to determine the variables affecting the %EBMIL and %EWL values. In univariate analysis between dependent and independent variables and descriptive and clinical characteristics, variables with a  $p$ -value of  $<0.25$  were considered as confounding factors. Binary Logistic Regression Analysis was used to determine the variables that affect the “failure” status for %EBMIL and %EWL. A value of  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Results

Hundred and ten patients who met the criteria were included in the study. Demographic data, preoperative BMI values, and comorbidity status of the patients are given in (Table I). The rate of surgeries considered “successful” was 87.3% for %EBMIL and 85.5% for %EWL. Baseline variables associated with %EBMIL were age, BMI<sub>0</sub> and regular follow-up ( $p=0.002$ ,  $p<0.001$ ,  $p=0.006$ , respectively). Baseline variables associated with %EWL were age, regular follow-up, and comorbidity ( $p=0.002$ ,  $p=0.001$ ,  $p=0.029$ , respectively). The %EWL values of patients with obesity-related comorbidity were lower than those without comorbidity. LMR, CRP and RDW values of female patients were higher than male patients ( $p=0.010$ ,  $p=0.019$ ,  $p=0.020$ , respectively). In the analyzes performed between baseline variables and depen-

TABLE I - Descriptive and clinical characteristics of the patients.

Variables	Statistics
<b>Sex</b> , n (%)	
Male	27 (24.5)
Female	83 (75.5)
<b>Age</b> , (year)	
mean±sd	39.8±11.3
M (min-max)	40.0 (17-68)
<b>BMI<sub>0</sub></b> , (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	
mean±sd	47.24±5.12
M (min-max)	46.17 (35.62-59.16)
<b>Comorbidity</b> , n (%)	
None	28 (25.5)
Obesity-related	70 (63.6)
Others	12 (10.9)
<b>Regular Follow-up</b> , n (%)	
No	12 (10.9)
Yes	98 (89.1)
<b>Anemia</b> , n (%)	
No	105 (95.5)
Yes	5 (4.5)
<b>CRP</b>	
M (IQR)	0.92 (0.99)
<b>NLR</b>	
M (IQR)	2.03 (1.14)
<b>LMR</b>	
M (IQR)	4.36 (1.89)
<b>RDW</b>	
M (IQR)	13.80 (1.73)
<b>RDW</b> , n (%)	
≤14,5	76 (69.1)
>14,5	34 (30.9)
<b>%EBMIL</b>	
mean±sd	76.5±21.8
M (min-max)	76.4 (34.4-135.8)
<b>Surgical Success with EMBIL</b> , n (%)	
Successful	96 (87.3)
Failure	14 (12.7)
<b>Total weight loss</b>	
mean±sd	35.1±9.2
M (min-max)	34.8 (17.4-54.2)
<b>Surgical Success with EWL</b> , n (%)	
Successful	94 (85.5)
Failure	16 (14.5)

SD: standard deviation, M: Median value, IQR: Interquartile range

dent and independent variables, it was thought that variables with  $p < 0.25$  might be a confounding factor, and these variables were included as confounding factors in the regression analyses.

No statistically significant relationship was found in the results of univariate analysis between dependent and independent variables. In comparisons between dependent and independent variables, variables with  $p < 0.25$  were included in the regression models.

TABLE II - Correlations of %EBMIL and %EWL values with NLR, LMR and logCRP

	EBMIL mean±sd	EWL mean±sd
NLR	rho=-0.019; p=0.848	rho=-0.026; p=0.787
LMR	rho=-0.103; p=0.282	rho=-0.058; p=0.545
logCRP	r=-0.168; p=0.079	r=-0.094; p=0.331
RDW		
≤14.5	79.2±20.2	35.9±8.6
>14.5	70.6±24.4	33.4±10.2
Test statistics	t=1.938; p=0.055	t=1.322; p=0.189

r: Pearson correlation coefficient, rho: Spearman correlation coefficient, sd: standard deviation, t: Independent samples t test

TABLE III - Multiple linear regression analysis results for variables affecting %EBMIL and %EWL values

	Regression Coefficients*					95.0% Confidence Interval for β	
	β	se	βz	t	p	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
<b>Model-1: EBMIL</b>							
Constant	163.45	17.22		9.490	<0.001	129.28	197.63
RDW							
≤14.5	Reference						
>14.5	-10.51	3.98	-0.223	-2.640	0.010	-18.40	-2.61
Variables included in the model: RDW, logCRP - Model Summary: F=7.604; p<0.001; R <sup>2</sup> =0.434; Adj R <sup>2</sup> =0.377							
<b>Model-2:EWL</b>							
Constant	34.70	7.90		4.393	<0.001	19.03	50.38
RDW							
≤14.5	Reference						
>14.5	-5.17	1.83	-0.261	-2.835	0.006	-8.79	-1.55
Variables included in the model: RDW - Model Summary: F=4.810; p<0.001; R <sup>2</sup> =0.327; Adj R <sup>2</sup> =0.259							

\*Adjusted for sex, age, BMI0, comorbidity, regular follow-up, anemia

The results of the multiple linear regression analysis performed by controlling the effects of the baseline variables are given in (Table II). RDW was found to be effective on %EBMIL and %EWL (Table III). The %EBMIL values of patients with RDW>14.5% were 10.51 (95% confidence interval: 2.61-18.40) units lower than patients with RDW≤14.5%.

The %EWL values are 5.17 (95% confidence interval: 1.55-8.79) units lower. In the evaluation of successful surgeries, the probability of failure for those with RDW>14.5% is 10.93 times higher for %EBMIL and 21.55 times for %EWL.

## Discussion

In obesity treatment, weight loss is limited with methods such as diet, exercise and medication, and long-term treatments increase the cost. In addition, regain of weight

in the following period renders these treatment methods ineffective. There is no chance of permanent and effective treatment other than surgery<sup>8</sup>.

Obesity surgery is major surgery. For this reason, the patient to be operated on should be chosen well. Surgical treatment of patients should be managed by a multidisciplinary team. Patients who will benefit from surgery should be well-identified. For this purpose, many studies have been carried out in recent years on the predictive factors of weight loss and comorbidities.

In our study, we evaluated whether RDW is a predictive value for weight loss and successful surgery. In the evaluation of weight loss, %EBMIL and %EWL values were considered. These values are the two most common parameters used to evaluate weight loss in bariatric surgery. While making the calculations, the reference BMI was taken as 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is the reference value accepted in studies on bariatric surgery in the past and is the cutoff value between healthy and overweight

people in the World Health Organization (WHO) obesity classification<sup>9-11</sup>.

In our study, comorbidities were evaluated in three groups as “none, obesity-related comorbidity, and other comorbidities”. In univariate analysis, no significant correlation was found between %EBMIL and comorbidity, but a significant correlation was found between comorbidity and %EWL. We would expect comorbidity to be effective for both weight loss parameters.

Most of the patients had regular follow-ups. The rate of patients who came to regular follow-up was 89.1%. The rates of %EBMIL and %EWL of these patients were determined as 78% and 36%, respectively. Due to these statistically significant rates, it has been observed that the rate of weight loss may be higher in patients with regular follow-up.

In the predictive factors evaluated in terms of weight loss, inflammatory parameters were generally emphasized. There are many studies conducted with NLR and LMR values, especially CRP<sup>12,13</sup>. In one study, statistical analysis of the effect of CRP on predicting weight loss was done with %EWL<sup>14</sup>. Even if the weight of the patients is the same, preoperative body mass indexes may be different. For this reason, we found it more appropriate to evaluate with %EBMIL and %EWL. With our statistical analysis, we found that CRP had no effect on predicting weight loss.

In the study of Bulur et al, it was shown that NLR decreased after sleeve gastrectomy<sup>15</sup>. This rate, which is considered an inflammatory parameter, did not show a statistically significant relationship when evaluated with weight-loss parameters in our study. Although it has been shown in another study that this rate decreases with weight loss, statistical analysis on weight loss estimation has not been performed<sup>16</sup>. In addition, the effect of LMR on weight loss was not statistically significant in our study.

Another inflammatory parameter that we think may have an effect on weight loss in bariatric surgery is RDW. RDW is a measure of the change in the size of erythrocytes, reflecting the degree of anisocytosis on the peripheral smear to the complete blood count. Numerous observational studies have linked mortality and morbidity to a high RDW for many diseases. These diseases are cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events, venous thromboembolism, malignancies, sepsis, chronic obstructive lung disease, chronic kidney disease and liver diseases. However, RDW can only be an indicator of other negative prognostic factors such as age, comorbidities, or physiological stress<sup>17-19</sup>. The relationship between a high RDW value and increased mortality and morbidity has not been fully revealed. Patho-physiologically, RDW is considered to reflect inflammatory status, oxidative stress and nutritional deficiencies<sup>19</sup>. It is often thought that inflammation and oxidative stress affect RDW by altering erythrocyte hemostasis. In addition, it was found that the risk of metabolic syndrome and related long-term

mortality were higher in those with high RDW<sup>20</sup>. Another study stated that RDW height is not secondary to inflammation, but RDW increases as a result of a low-calorie diet applied during bariatric surgery<sup>9</sup>.

Since RDW is a value that can be affected by anemia, anemia was evaluated both within the comorbidity groups and alone. There was no significant relationship between anemia and weight loss parameters. Independent of anemia, the RDW value could be used to predict weight loss rates and treatment success.

RDW values were grouped as  $\leq 14.5\%$  and  $>14.5\%$ . While making this grouping, previous studies on diseases affected by inflammatory parameters were taken into consideration<sup>(21-24)</sup>. And also, the reference range for the RDW value of our hospital is 11.5-14.5. However, since we did not have a patient with an RDW value below 11.5, RDW was analyzed in two groups. Similar to the studies in the literature, surgery of patients with %EBMIL  $> 50\%$  and %EWL  $> 25\%$  was considered successful<sup>25,26</sup>.

After the linear regression analysis, it was observed that the %EBMIL and %EWL were higher in the patients whose RDW values were 14.5 and below in the preoperative period. In these patients, it is an indication that more weight loss has occurred. Patients with an RDW greater than 14.5 have a higher possibility of surgical failure, both for the %EBMIL and %EWL. As a result of these analyzes, when the effect of all other parameters was zeroed, it was observed that the preoperative RDW value was alone effective on weight loss and surgical success, depending on whether it was below or above 14.5.

There are few studies in the literature that investigated the use of RDW in obesity surgery. Our results are similar to the study of Wise et al<sup>10</sup>. However, successful and unsuccessful surgeries and other inflammatory parameters were also evaluated in our study. Also, our follow-up time is longer. In this way, the maximum value of %EBMIL was taken into account, not the values in the short follow-up period. In addition, not only %EBMIL but also %EWL were calculated for weight loss. Weight loss rates were not evaluated with a single parameter.

It should be kept in mind that RDW can be affected by inflammatory parameters, as well as many other parameters such as anemia and hemorrhage. Vaya et al<sup>9</sup> reported that elevated RDW in patients with obesity does not reflect systemic inflammation because it is not associated with other inflammatory markers. Parameters affecting inflammation such as CRP, NLR, LMR, RDW values and anemia status were included in our study. However, inflammatory parameters are not limited to these. It should also be noted that RDW may also be affected by other factors. Achieving weight loss after surgery is difficult to predict based on a single biochemical value alone. It is also important for these patients to pay attention to their diet in the postopera-

tive period, do sports and come to their follow-ups regularly. Although regular follow-up was evaluated in our study, we did not have objective data to evaluate other parameters. These conditions can be considered as a shortcoming of the study.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, preoperative RDW value can be used as a predictor of weight loss and surgical success after sleeve gastrectomy. It is a new preoperative biomarker that can provide clinically useful prognostic information. In this way, in the preoperative period, it can provide an idea about whether the patient will lose enough weight and the success of the surgery.

However, there is no significant relationship between CRP and NLR values and weight loss. Only RDW value can be used predictively. The mechanism between RDW and weight loss is unclear. However, this study will shed light on larger studies that will examine the effect of inflammatory parameters on weight loss after bariatric surgery.

## Riassunto

**INTRODUZIONE:** L'obesità patologica è un problema di salute comune in tutto il mondo. Uno dei metodi più efficaci per perdere peso è la chirurgia. Prevedere la perdita di peso prima della chirurgia bariatrica è molto importante. Sebbene ci siano molti studi sulla previsione della perdita di peso nella chirurgia bariatrica, il numero di studi che utilizzano l'ampiezza di distribuzione dei globuli rossi (RDW) è molto basso. Abbiamo mirato a valutare se RDW ha un impatto predittivo sulla perdita di peso dopo un intervento chirurgico per l'obesità.

**MATERIALI E METODI:** questo è stato uno studio retrospettivo di un'unica istituzione. Sono stati determinati il peso e l'IMC più bassi e sono state calcolate la % di perdita dell'indice di massa corporea in eccesso (%EBMIL) e la % di perdita di peso in eccesso (%EWL). L'analisi di regressione lineare multipla è stata utilizzata per determinare le variabili che influenzano i valori %EBMIL e %EWL. Nell'analisi univariata tra variabili dipendenti e indipendenti e caratteristiche descrittive e cliniche, le variabili con un valore  $p < 0,25$  sono state considerate come fattori di confondimento. L'analisi di regressione logistica binaria è stata utilizzata per determinare le variabili che influiscono sullo stato di "fallimento" per %EBMIL e %EWL. La chirurgia dei pazienti con %EBMIL  $> 50\%$  e %EWL  $> 25\%$  è stata considerata di successo. Un valore di  $p < 0,05$  è stato considerato statisticamente significativo.

**RISULTATO:** Nello studio sono stati inclusi centodieci pazienti. Nessuna relazione statisticamente significativa è stata trovata nei risultati dell'analisi univariata tra vari-

abili dipendenti e indipendenti. Nel confronto tra variabili dipendenti e indipendenti, nei modelli di regressione sono state incluse variabili con  $p < 0,25$ . I risultati dell'analisi di regressione lineare multipla eseguita controllando gli effetti delle variabili di base sono riportati nella (Table II). RDW è risultato efficace su %EBMIL e %EWL.

I valori %EBMIL dei pazienti con RDW  $> 14,5\%$  erano 10,51 (intervallo di confidenza al 95%: 2,61 - 18,40) unità inferiori rispetto ai pazienti con RDW  $\leq 14,5\%$ . I valori %EWL sono 5,17 (intervallo di confidenza al 95%: 1,55 - 8,79) unità inferiori. Nella valutazione di interventi chirurgici di successo, la probabilità di fallimento per quelli con RDW  $> 14,5\%$  è 10,93 volte superiore per %EBMIL e 21,55 volte per %EWL.

**CONCLUSIONE:** il valore RDW preoperatorio può essere utilizzato come predittore di perdita di peso e successo chirurgico dopo sleeve gastrectomia. Può fornire un'idea se il paziente perderà abbastanza peso e il successo dell'intervento chirurgico. Questo studio farà luce su studi più ampi che esamineranno l'effetto dei parametri infiammatori sulla perdita di peso dopo la chirurgia bariatrica.

## References

1. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC): *Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: A pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19,2 million participants*. Lancet, 2016; 2:387(10026):1377-1396, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30054-X, Erratum in: Lancet, 2016; 14:387(10032):1998, PMID: 27115820.
2. Chang SH, Freeman NLB, Lee JA, Stoll CRT, Calhoun AJ, Eagon JC et al: *Early major complications after bariatric surgery in the United States, 2003-2014: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Obes Rev, 2018; 19(4):529-537, <https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12647>.
3. Adams TD, Gress RE, Smith SC, Halverson RC, Simper SC, Rosamond WD et al: *Long-term mortality after gastric bypass surgery*. N Engl J Med, 2007; 357(8):753. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa066603>.
4. Nguyen NT, Masoomi H, Laugenour K, Sanaiha Y, Reavis KM, Mills S et al: *Predictive factors of mortality in bariatric surgery: Data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample*. Surgery, 2011; 150:347-51, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2011.05.020>.
5. Livhits M, Mercado C, Yermilov I, Parikh JA, Dutson E, Mehran A et al: *Preoperative predictors of weight loss following bariatric surgery: Systematic review*. Obes Surg, 2012; 22:70-89, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-011-0472-4>.
6. Salvagno GL, Sanchis-Gomar F, Picanza A, Lippi G: *Red blood cell distribution width: A simple parameter with multiple clinical applications*. Critical reviews in clinical laboratory sciences, 2015; 52(2):86-105, <https://doi.org/10.3109/10408363.2014.992064>.
7. Zurauskaite G, Voegeli MMA, Koch D, Haubitz S, Kutz A, Bernasconi L, et al: *Biological pathways underlying the association of red cell distribution width and adverse clinical outcome: Results of a prospective cohort study*. PLoS One, 2018; 13(1):e0191280, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191280>.

8. Fischer JE, Yorganci K: *Morbid Obezite*, in: MM Ozmen (ed), *Mastery of Surgery*, Ankara, Güneş Tip Kitapevleri, 2011; 963.
9. Vaya A, Alis R, Hernandez-Mijares A, Sola E, Camara R, Rivera L, et al: *Red blood cell distribution width is not related with inflammatory parameters in morbidly obese patients*. *Clinical biochemistry*, 2014; 47(6):464-66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2014.01.020>.
10. Wise ES, Hocking KM, Kavic SM: *Prediction of excess weight loss after laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: Data from an artificial neural network*. *Surg Endosc*, 2016; 30(2):480-88, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-015-4225-7>.
11. Vennapuse A, Panchangam RB, Kesera C, Chivukula T: *Factors predicting weight loss after "sleeve gastrectomy with loop duodenojejunal bypass" surgery for obesity*. *Journal of obesity & metabolic Syndrome*, 2020; 30:29(3):208-14, <https://doi.org/10.7570/jomes20044>.
12. Facchiano E: *Comment on: Correlation of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio with metabolic parameters in patients undergoing sleeve gastrectomy*. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*, 2020; 16(9):e53-e54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soard.2020.05.034>.
13. Mari A, Mahamid M, Ahmad HS, Lubany A, El Hija SA, Shorbaji N, et al: *The role of pre-operative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in predicting post bariatric surgery related complications*. *Isr Med Assoc J*, 2020; 22(5):294-98.
14. Aliakbarian H, Bhutta HY, Heshmati K, Kunju SU, Sheu EG, Tavakkoli A: *Pre-operative predictors of weight loss and weight regain following roux-en-y gastric bypass surgery: A prospective human study*. *Obes Surg*, 2020; 30(12):4852-59, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-020-04877-7>.
15. Bulur O, Ozturk D, Ertugrul DT, Sayin S, Asilturk Z, Dal K, et al: *Effects of sleeve gastrectomy on neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio*. *J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol*, 2021; 5, <https://doi.org/10.1515/jbcp-2020-0179>.
16. Santos J, Salgado P, Santos C, Mendes P, Saavedra J, Baldaque P, et al: *Effect of bariatric surgery on weight loss, inflammation, iron metabolism, and lipid profile*. *Scand J Surg*, 2014; 103(1):21-5, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1457496913490467>.
17. Tonelli M, Sacks F, Arnold M, Moye L, Davis B, Pfeffer M: *Relation between red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular event rate in people with coronary disease*. *Circulation*, 2008; 117:163, <https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.107.727545>.
18. Grant BJ, Kudalkar DP, Muti P, McCann SE, Trevisan M, Freudenheim JL, et al: *Relation between lung function and RBC distribution width in a population-based study*. *Chest* 2003; 124:494, <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.124.2.494>.
19. Garcez ME, Peres W, Salvador M: *Oxidative stress and hematology and biochemical parameters in individuals with Down syndrome*. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 2005; 80:1607, <https://doi.org/10.4065/80.12.1607>.
20. Laufer Perl M, Havakuk O, Finkelstein A, Halkin A, Revivo M, Elbaz M, et al: *High red blood cell distribution width is associated with the metabolic syndrome*. *Clin Hemorheol Microcirc*, 2015; 25:63(1):35-43, <https://doi.org/10.3233/CH-151978>.
21. Aalaei-Andabili SH, Anderson RD, Bavry AA, Barr B, Arnaoutakis GJ, Beaver TM: *Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width in transcatheter aortic valve replacement patients*. *Innovations (Phila)*, 2021; 16(6):517-22, <https://doi.org/10.1177/15569845211041360>.
22. Sarkar S, Kannan S, Khanna P, Singh AK: *Role of red blood cell distribution width, as a prognostic indicator in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. *Rev Med Virol*, 2022; 32(2):e2264, <https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2264>.
23. Toyokawa G, Shoji F, Yamazaki K, Shimokawa M, Takeo S: *Significance of the red blood cell distribution width in resected pathologic stage I non-small cell lung cancer*. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 2020; Winter; 32(4):1036-45, <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semtcvs.2019.04.011>.
24. Zhao T, Cui L, Li A: *The significance of RDW in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after radical resection*. *Cancer Biomark*, 2016; 4: 16(4):507-12, <https://doi.org/10.3233/CBM-160591>.
25. van de Laar AW, van Rijswijk AS, Kakar H, Bruin SC: *Sensitivity and specificity of 50% excess weight loss (50%ewl) and twelve other bariatric criteria for weight loss success*. *Obes Surg*, 2018; 28(8):2297-304, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-018-3173-4>.
26. Poublon N, Chidi I, Bethlehem M, Kuipers E, Gadiot R, Emous M, et al: *One anastomosis gastric bypass vs. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, remedy for insufficient weight loss and weight regain after failed restrictive bariatric surgery*. *Obes Surg*, 2020; 30(9):3287-94, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-020-04536-x>.