

Umbilical hernioplasty in cirrhotic patients with ascites

A case control study



Ann. Ital. Chir., 2020 91, 6: 697-704
pii: S0003469X20031413

Beatrice D'Orazio*, Piero Luigi Almasio**, Giovanni Corbo*, Rosalia Patti*, Gaetano Di Vita*,
Girolando Geraci*

University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

*General Surgery Unit, Department of Surgical, Oncological and Stomatological Sciences

**Biomedical Department of Internal and Specialistic Medicine

Umbilical hernioplasty in cirrhotic patients with ascites. A case control study

OBJECTIVE: *Umbilical hernia is a very frequent pathology, and plastic with prosthetic material is the most frequently used surgical procedure currently used for its repair.*

In patients with cirrhosis in ascitic phase, this pathology is particularly frequent, with a tendency to rapidly increase in size and to become symptomatic. In the past treatment with traditional surgery in cirrhotic patients was considered problematic and was viewed with reserve, and only recently is the surgical approach recommended also in these patients. This study is aimed to evaluate the feasibility and safety of open umbilical hernia plastic with prosthetic material in cirrhotic and ascitic patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: *Our case-control study was conducted on 35 male patients with ascitic phase liver cirrhosis and an equal number of non-cirrhotic patients, all suffering from uncomplicated but symptomatic umbilical hernia, treated surgically consecutively from March 2005 to March 2015. All patients underwent open umbilical hernioplasty with placement of a retromuscular pre-aponeurotic mesh.*

RESULTS: *Of the 35 patients with liver cirrhosis, 20% were classified in Class C, according to Child-Pugh, 28.5% had a MELD score > 15. We have not shown any post-operative mortality. In general, minor complications were observed, more frequent in cirrhotic patients than in healthy controls ($p = 0.0315$). Among the aforementioned complications the most frequent were hematomas and wound infections, more frequent in cirrhotic patients in Class C according to Child-Pugh and with MELD score > 15 ($p < 0.005$).*

CONCLUSIONS: *Our study shows that umbilical hernia pathology in ascitic cirrhotic patients can be treated surgically with satisfactory results especially in Child-Pugh class A and B patients. The surgical approach of choice must, preferably, be the preferred prosthetic plastic after pre-operative optimization of the coagulation, nutritional and ascitic state.*

KEY WORDS: Ascites, Hernioplasty, Liver cirrhosis; Umbilical hernia.

Introduction

Umbilical hernia is a common type of abdominal wall hernia with a prevalence of 2% in the general adult

population. It is usually an acquired hernia which involves the upper portion of the umbilical ring and which directly comes out from the umbilical canal, increasing in size very slowly [1]. Among the general population, female sex and obesity are risk factors for the onset of umbilical hernia. Umbilical hernioplasty with mesh is the surgical procedure most performed because it shows a lower relapse rate and a lower incidence of minor surgical site complications.

Liver cirrhosis (LC) is a chronic disease which leads to liver failure. As a result of recent increased alcohol consumption, of the high rates of Hepatitis C Virus and

Pervenuto in Redazione Settembre 2019. Accettato per la pubblicazione in Novembre 2019

Correspondence to: Gaetano Di Vita, General Surgery Unit, Department of Surgical, Oncological and Stomatological Sciences, University of Palermo. Via Liborio Giuffrè 5, 90127 Palermo, Italy (e-mail: divita-gaetano@libero.it)

Hepatitis B Virus and of the widespread obesity, we are witnessing an increasing number of patients with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, predisposing to LC onset.

In cirrhotic patients, umbilical hernias occur more often among men³ and almost exclusively in patients with persistent ascites^{4,5} with a prevalence of 20%⁶.

Umbilical hernia in these patients has a tendency to enlarge quickly and to become symptomatic³. Umbilical hernia etiology in patients with cirrhosis is multifactorial^{7,8} but ascites is the major etiologic factor^{9,10}. In LC patients ascites determine an increase of the abdominal pressure, which can lead to a protrusion of the content of the abdominal cavity through a potential weakening of the umbilical region¹¹. In addition ascites is responsible for a rapid increasing in umbilical hernia size^{9,12} and for the development of potentially severe complications such as incarceration of intestine or omentum into the dense fibrous ring, or necrosis and perforation of the overlying skin followed by evisceration, ascites drainage, and peritonitis^{13,14}.

Other risk factors for umbilical hernia in LC are the weakness of the abdominal muscles due to malnutrition and the portal hypertension along with the recanalization of the umbilical vein causing dilatation a varices formation^{12,15}.

Until a few years ago, surgical treatment of umbilical hernia in LC patients with ascites was restricted to patients which showed complications^{8,16} and surgical repair of complicated umbilical hernia in such patients is associated to elevated morbidity and mortality rate; only until recent years, an early surgical intervention has been recommended in a number of scientific reports, to improve these outcomes.

In our work we propose a case control study, comparing the post-operative course of a group of patients affected by LC with ascites and another group of control cases, both suffering from umbilical hernia and both undergone open elective hernioplasty repair with mesh placement, in order evaluate the technical aspects, feasibility, safety and complication of the repair of umbilical hernia in cirrhotic patients with ascites in comparison with not cirrhotic controls.

Material and Methods

A case-control study was conducted including a group of 35 consecutive male patients suffering from LC and ascites with symptomatic and not complicated umbilical hernia (LC group) who had undergone elective open hernioplasty with mesh placement from March 2005 to March 2015. These patients were matched with an equal number of patients affected by umbilical hernia but without cirrhosis, who had been treated during the same time period (non-LC group).

All these patients had been previously admitted to the

Gastrointestinal and Liver Unit to evaluate their functional hepatic status and subsequently referred to the General Surgery Unit to perform a hernioplasty in order to get symptomatic relief. All patients gave written informed consent. Patients excluded from the study were those with complicated umbilical hernia (spontaneous rupture, leakage, recurrence, incarceration, obstruction and strangulation); patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis proved by preoperative routine ascetic fluid sample for total leucocyte count and those with patent umbilical vein in the wall of their hernia sac diagnosed by routine preoperative ultrasonography (US) or computed tomography (CT). Furthermore, patients with late-stage hepatocellular carcinoma, congestive heart failure, and renal failure requiring dialysis were not included.

All patients underwent surgery after a careful metabolic and coagulation assessment and were classified according to the etiology of liver cirrhosis and to the Child's class and model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score. The presence of ascites was determined based on preoperative abdominal ultrasounds or abdominal computed tomography. Patients were treated with increased doses of diuretics up to 400 mg of spironolactone per day, 160 mg of furosemide per day, and sodium restriction (50 mEq/d). A lack of body weight response, defined as a loss of body weight less than 200 g/d after 4 days, or the development of diuretic-induced complications that precluded the use of effective diuretic dosage were defined as refractory ascites. No patient received transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt or peritoneovenous shunt before enrollment or dialysis peritoneal and during the study period. Ascites control was careful also with repeated paracentesis. Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed on histological findings. A short-term antibiotic prophylaxis with 2 g intravenous ceftazidime was administered 1 hour before surgery in all patients of the 2 groups. As to the type of anesthesia administered, all patients of group LC and non-LC received general or local anesthesia.

Postoperative pain was assessed by the patients by means of a verbal pain intensity scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain imaginable) at 24 hours, 72 hours and on postoperative days (POD)7. Patients discharged before these times were requested to record pain at home. Clinical and instrumental examinations were conducted until 24 months from surgery.

SURGICAL PROCEDURE

An elliptical incision including hernia and umbilicus was made and the subcutaneous tissues were dissected of the rectus sheath and linea alba to expose the hernia sac. The sac was isolated and inverted into the abdominal cavity when it's possible. The rectus sheath was cut on the anterior surface and the posterior fascia of rectus muscle was prepared. This dissection was stopped when

an overlap of 3-4 cm in all directions was reached. The posterior fascia if the two rectus muscles was closed with absorbable sutures. In all cases a polypropylene high density mesh was placed in the space between the posterior fascia and the rectus muscle, with at least 3 cm overlap in all directions and it was fixed to the anterior fascia of rectus muscle. The rectus muscle was closed over the mesh in all cases using absorbable sutures with simple interrupted stitch to close anterior rectus sheath. The same for subcutaneous tissue. A subcutaneous and periprosthetic drain was lay in all patients of LC group instead in the non-LC group was positioned according to the surgeon's decision.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analysis were performed using a statistical program (Graph Pad Instat Version 3.06 for Windows). Both continuous variable (as mean and standard deviation) and categorical data of the 2 groups were given, compared using Unpaired T test with Welch correction and Fisher's test, respectively.

Results

Demographic and clinical matched data (age, BMI, comorbidities, size of hernia and ASA score) are reported in Table I. In LC group 9 patients resulted affected

TABLE I - Demographic matched data of 70 patients affected by umbilical hernia (35 with LC and ascites and 35 non-LC).

	LC Group N (%)	non-LC Group N (%)	P
Age (y)			
Mean ± SD	57.3 ± 10,1	55.6 ± 9,8	n.s.
Size of hernia (cm)			
Mean ± SD	2,2 ± 3,2	2,9 ± 3,6	n.s.
ASA Score			
I	3(8,57%)	4(11,42%)	n.s.
II	7(20%)	12(34,2%)	
III	18(51,4%)	13(37,1%)	
IV	7(20%)	6(17,1%)	
Comorbidities			
Smoking	13(37,1%)	14(40%)	n.s.
Diabetes	8(22,8%)	11(31,4%)	
Arterial Hypertension	7(20%)	10(28,5%)	
Atherosclerosis	2(5,7%)	1(2,85%)	
Atrial Fibrillation	3(8,57%)	3(8,57%)	
COPD	20(57%)	12(34,2%)	

Legend: LC: liver cirrhosis; BMI: Body Max Index; ASA: American society of Anesthesiologists; COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

TABLE II - Child's class and Etiology of liver cirrhosis in patients of LC group with ascites

Etiology of LC	
HCV	25 (71,4%)
HBV	2 (5,7%)
Alcoholic	6 (17,1%)
Autoimmune	1 (2,8%)
Metabolic Syndrome	1 (2,8%)
Child's class	
A	10 (28,5%)
B	18 (51,4%)
C	7 (20%)
MELD (score)	
MELD > 15	10 (28,5%)
MELD <15	25 (71,4%)

Legend: HCV: Hepatitis C virus; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; MELD: Model for End-Stage Liver Disease)

TABLE III - Surgical features of patients in LC group and in non-LC group.

	LC Group	non-LC Group	P
Anesthesia			
Local	14	19	Ns
General	21	16	
Duration of operation (min)			
Mean ± SD	55 ± 8,9	44 ± 6,4	<0,0001
Drainage removal time (days)			
Mean ± SD	5,3 ± 3,7	1,5 ± 0,2	<0,0001
Postoperative pain (V.P.I.S.) on POD 1-3-7			
Score ± SD	2,7 ± 3,6	2,5 ± 4,2	n.s.
Hospital Stay (days)			
Mean ± SD	5,4±4,2	1,8±3,6	<0,0003

Legend: V.P.I.S.: Verbal Pain Intensity Scale; POD: Post-Operative Day.

ted by hepatocellular carcinoma or trea7%). The etiology of liver cirrhosis, the Child's class and the MELD score are shown in Table II. Among the 9 patients with MELD score>15, two had episodes of bowel subocclusion and two leakage of hernia. No important complications were observed during surgery in any patients of the two groups. Wound subcutaneous and periprosthetic drainages were placed in 52 patients, 35 of LC group and 17 of non-LC group (p<0,0001). The duration of the operation resulted significantly longer for umbilical hernioplasty in LC patients (p<0.0001). Even the hospital stay was significantly longer for patients in LC group (p<0.0003). As to the pain assessment, no significant difference has been observed between the two groups and no patients complained severe pain or indicated a pain

TABLE IV - Postoperative complications in patients of LC and non-LC groups.

	Number of patients	Hematoma N (%)	Seroma N (%)	Infection N (%)	Leakage N (%)	Total N(%)
LC Group	35	3 (8,57%)	2 (5,71%)	4 (11,42%)	2 (5,71%)	11 (31,4%)
Child A	10	0 (0%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)
Child B	18	1 (5,55%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (11,11%)	3 (16,66%)
Child C	7	2 (28,57%)	1 (14,28%)	3 (42,85%)	0 (0%)	6 (85,71%)
MELD-score <15	26	0 (0%)	2 (8,33%)	1 (4,16%)	2 (8,33%)	5 (20,83%)
MELD-score >15	9	3 (33,3%)	0 (0%)	3 (33,3%)	0 (0%)	6 (66,66%)
Non-LC Group	35	0 (0%)	1 (2,85%)	1 (2,85%)	0 (0%)	2 (5,71%)

Total complications: LC group VS non-LC group (P=0,0315); Child's class C VS non-LC group (P=0,022); MELD-score >15 VS non-LC group (P=0,0048). Hematoma: Child's class C VS non-LC group (P=0,0381); MELD-score >15 VS non-LC group (P=0,0136). Infection: Child's class C VS non-LC group (P=0,0278); MELD-score >15 VS non-LC group (P=0,0432).

intensity score over 5 point on POD 1,3 and 7. The type of anesthesia, duration of operation and hospital stay, postoperative pain and drainage removal time are reported in Table III. We didn't report any mortality related to the surgical procedure. Early postoperative complications are reported in Table IV. A total of 11 complications (31.4%) were observed in LC group, whereas in the non LC group only 2 (5,7%) (p=0,0315). All the post-operative complications we observed were mild, grade I or Id according to Dindo -Clavien classification of surgical complication; none of these required a reoperation. In relation to the severity of cirrhosis, statistically significant difference were observed only in the Child's class C and in Meld score >15. Hematoma and surgical wound infection were the most commonly observed complications and statistically significant in the Child's Class C and Meld score >15 respect to the non LC group. These complications did not require re-surgery in any patient; in particular infections always affect the deep skin tissue. Only one recurrence was observed at 18 months postoperatively in a patient of Child's class C.

Discussion

Our study shows that this surgical procedure in LC patients, if elective, is characterized by a statistically significant rise of post-operative morbidity compared to what is seen in the general population (p=0,0315) and the surgery had no association with post-operative mortality. As for the post-operative complications we reported, we found a statistically significant rise of only 2 kind of complications such as hematoma and wound infection in Child's Class C and Meld score > 15 group of patients in comparison with non-LC group of patients (p<0.005).

Historically umbilical hernia repair with traditional surgery in patients suffering from LC with ascites has been reported resulting in extremely high rates of mortality and morbidity¹⁷. Some studies from the 1960s to the

1990s documented a mortality rate up to 31%¹⁸ and a recurrence rate as high as 60%¹⁹ in those patients. Even more recently McKay et al²⁰ showed a high mortality and morbidity rate in Child's C class patients undergone surgical repair, this for they preferred a waiting approach for this group of patients; on the other hand they showed a 3.8% mortality rate and a 13.9% morbidity rate in Child's A class patients undergoing abdominal wall hernia repair.

Consequently the traditional approach in LC patients, above all in Child's B and C class, suffering from umbilical hernia was non operatively or a watch and wait management of the disease²¹. As a matter of fact a unique feature of cirrhosis is low physiologic reserve, which makes the patients more vulnerable to perioperative complications during the repair²². On the other hand the presence of the hernia is accompanied by an alteration of quality of life of these patients through local pain and limitation of physical activity²³⁻²⁶.

Nevertheless, untreated abdominal wall defects in cirrhotic patients may grow to an immense size, often developing related complications such as strangulation, ulcerations, ascitic leak and potential bacterial peritonitis⁸, which would require an emergency treatment increasing the risk of morbidity and mortality^{26,27} that resulted to be 7 times higher than in the general population²².

Actually over more recent years there have been emerging data suggesting the risk associated to an elective repair of umbilical hernia in cirrhotic patients might be much lower than previously reported²⁰.

Kirkpatrick and Schubert²⁸ found that the rate of mortality of the non-operative management in those patients is up to 60% in contrast with a much lower mortality rate of 14% in patients who underwent elective surgery. Carbonell⁸ showed in 2005 no statistically significant differences as for mortality and morbidity rates in LC and non-LC patients undergone elective hernioplasty, in contrast to a 7 times higher mortality rate in LC patients undergone emergently repair. Mansour²⁹ demonstrated a mortality rate of 50% in LC emergently treated

patients versus a 18% rate of mortality in case of emergency treatment.

Moreover, with the improvement in the medical care of cirrhotic patients, improvement in perioperative management technique and development in surgical procedures [14], in the last decades we observed a significant decrease of post-operative complications^{3,9} and a mortality rate similar to the general population³⁰⁻³¹.

Marsman et al.³² showed no complications or recurrence in LC patients electively treated and Carbonell, Choi and Gray^{8,33,34} found even superior results from the comparison between the emergency approach and the elective surgery.

But in the end indications and optimal timing for umbilical hernia repair in cirrhotic patients remains controversial³⁵.

Of course we must state in line with the present literature that the surgical outcomes are strictly dependent to the staging of the underlying liver disease, actually the rate of post-operative complications and mortality rise along with the worsening of Child or MELD classification of the disease, they dramatically increase in patients with Child's class C compared to those with class A disease³⁶. Mortality in non-hepatic surgery in relation to the Child staging system is estimated at 10% for Child A, 30 for Child B and 82% for Child C.

Saleh et al.⁵ showed in their study that the more important indicator for mortality at 30 post-operative days are MELD score > 18, platelets' count < 150000, albumin < 3 g/dl and WBC count > 10.000.

In our control case study we observe statistically significant difference in Child's class C and MELD score > 15, in this group of patients we observed a longer hospital stay and the most common complications occurred were hematoma and surgical infections, which didn't require re-surgery and occurred with a significant statistically difference to non LC patients.

So, concerning the timing of surgery in LC patients, well compensated LC patients in Child class A and B or with MELD score < 14 are surely suitable for an elective hernia repair, but recent data show how, even in decompensated LC patients, an elective procedure is feasible after an adequate pre-operative optimization^{6,27}. Actually a recent study by Cho, et al.¹⁰ demonstrate how elective surgical repair of abdominal hernia should be avoided only in patients with predictors of high post-operative mortality rate such as age > 65 years, MELD score > 15 and albumin levels less than 3 g/dl.

As for liver transplantations candidates if the waiting list is too long the surgical procedure can be performed before the transplantation, otherwise if the transplantation is anticipated in the short term, umbilical hernia can be managed concomitantly; as a matter of fact if the patient has a high likelihood of receiving a liver transplant within 3 to 6 months, this combined operative approach is preferred³².

With the progression of the disease hepatic dysfunction

is associated with complex systemic dysfunctions; overall patients with decompensated cirrhosis survive a median of less than 2 years, whereas compensated cirrhosis has a higher median of survival at more than 12 years³⁷. Among the metabolic disorders caused by the liver failure in cirrhotic patients the impaired coagulation, malnutrition, ascites and an impaired immune function represent those which are responsible for the main post-operative complications.

Coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia are important surgical preoperative considerations, LC patients have a deficiency of antithrombotic factors and are considered prothrombotic³⁸, this condition may exacerbate bleeding complications; so that a better assessment of degree of coagulopathy is necessary to determine the risk of bleeding, actually viscoelastic testing give a more comprehensive representation of the clotting profile and can guide resuscitation^{39,40}.

Malnutrition and immune dysfunction along with pro-inflammatory factors in LC patients, may lead to an increased risk of perioperative infections⁴¹. In our series we performed a short term antibiotic prophylaxis, with 2 gr of Cefazidime 1 hour prior to surgery, In all patients in order to reduce this complication.

Ascites is the main factor leading to the recurrence of the disease, so that a careful treatment of this complication prior to surgery and event after the surgical treatment can drastically reduce recurrence rates, even if there are no conclusive data regarding the best method of management of ascites⁴²⁻⁴³. In our case control study we tried to control this conditions by administrating pre-operatively increasing diuretic therapy or even paracentesis to the patient with ascites.

As for the surgical technique Chatzizacharias et al.⁶ suggest in their study that a primary closure with suture is suitable only for emergently treated patients, in which the use of a mesh would dramatically increase the risk of infection and potentially life threatening complications. In all elective procedures it has been demonstrated that the use of meshes in umbilical hernia repair in LC patients greatly reduces recurrence rate compared to the conventional suture method although the frequency of post-operative complications did not differ^{3,2,44-45}.

In particular the use of mesh, not depending on the positioning, is able to produce a remarkable inflammatory process,⁴⁶ by increasing the production of Cytokines and Growth factors such as VEGF and b-FGF⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹, which allows a more stable strengthening of the abdominal wall than the conventional suture method. This inflammatory response appears to be dependent on the prosthetic size⁵⁰ and on the texture of the prosthesis⁵¹⁻⁵².

In the end as for the comparison between the open and laparoscopic surgical approach we must say that the mini invasive approach brings a series of advantage in LC patients such as small incisions, shorter hospital stay, lower post-operative pain in comparison to the open technique.

On the other end involving an intraperitoneal positioning of the mesh, the laparoscopic approach could lead to an higher rate of infection of the mesh and the pneumoperitoneum could lead to an increased risk of decompensation with anesthesia by changing the cardiopulmonary functions^{9,53} associated with similar outcomes to open repair but with less wound complications and faster recovery³⁶. A subgroup analysis of patients with ascites, however showed that laparoscopic patients had higher overall rates of mortality, sepsis, kidney failure and unplanned return to the operating room⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵.

However the fixation of the meshes to the extraperitoneal fascia, in the open procedure, appears to be related with an higher risk of complications such as hematoma, seroma and chronic pain, due to the necessity of a greater dissection.

In our study we chose an extraperitoneal positioning, with no particular complications related to the positioning of the mesh.

Concerning the position of the mesh, during the open procedure, there is no general consensus, as most of the findings are based on small scale retrospective studies and choice of technique should be guided by the surgeon's familiarity and expertise with the procedure.⁶

Conclusions

Umbilical hernia is a common occurrence in patient with LC and their surgical management continues to be restricted by a lack of high quality evidence and heterogeneity in expert opinion. Despite this concern our study shows that umbilical hernia in LC patients with ascites can be surgically approached with satisfactory results. This approach is recommended to be elective, preferring the prosthetic technique, which appear to have the same outcomes then in general population and lower rate of recurrence then the conventional suture method. And even if rates of mortality and morbidity are strictly related to the severity of the underlying liver disease, rising along with the worsening of the staging, surgical outcomes could be considered satisfactory and leading to a better quality of life, first of all in well compensated patients. Nevertheless in all patients suffering from LC it is mandatory an adequate pre-operative optimization of the clothing profile, nutritional state and control of the ascites. Further studies are necessary, anyway, to guide the operating timing and surgical technique for hernia repair in this population.

Riassunto

OBBIETTIVO: L'ernia ombelicale è una patologia molto frequente, e la plastica con materiale protesico è la procedura chirurgica di più frequente uso attualmente per la sua riparazione.

Nei pazienti affetti da cirrosi in fase ascitica, questa patologia è particolarmente frequente, con una tendenza ad aumentare rapidamente di dimensioni e a divenire sintomatica. Nel passato il trattamento con chirurgia tradizionale nei pazienti cirrotici era considerato problematico ed era visto con riserva, e solo recentemente si raccomanda l'approccio chirurgico anche in questi pazienti. Questo studio intende a valutare la fattibilità e la sicurezza della plastica dell'ernia ombelicale con materiale protesico open in pazienti cirrotici in fase ascitica. **MATERIALI E METODI:** Il nostro studio caso-controllo è stato condotto su 35 pazienti di sesso maschile affetti da cirrosi epatica in fase ascitica e un egual numero di pazienti non cirrotici, tutti affetti da ernia ombelicale non complicata ma sintomatica, trattati chirurgicamente consecutivamente dal Marzo 2005 al Marzo 2015. Tutti i pazienti sono stati sottoposti ad ernioplastica ombelicale open con posizionamento di una mesh retromuscolare prefasciale.

RISULTATI: Dei 35 pazienti affetti da cirrosi epatica, il 20% è stato classificato in Classe C, secondo Child-Pugh, il 28,5% aveva un MELD score >15. Non abbiamo evidenziato alcuna mortalità post-operatoria. In generale, sono state osservate complicanze di lieve entità, più frequenti nei pazienti cirrotici rispetto ai controlli sani ($p=0,0315$). Tra le suddette complicanze le più frequenti sono state ematomi ed infezioni della ferita, più frequenti in pazienti cirrotici in Classe C secondo Child-Pugh e con MELD score >15 ($p<0,005$).

CONCLUSIONI: il nostro studio mostra che la patologia erniaria ombelicale nei pazienti cirrotici in fase ascitica può essere trattata chirurgicamente con risultati soddisfacenti soprattutto in pazienti in classe A e B di Child-Pugh. L'approccio chirurgico di scelta deve essere, preferibilmente, la plastica protesica di elezione previa ottimizzazione pre-operatoria dello stato coagulativo, nutrizionale e ascitico.

References

1. Cassie S., Okrainec A, Saleh F, Queresy FS, Jackson TD: *Laparoscopic versus open elective repair of primary umbilical hernias: Short-term outcomes from the american college of surgeon national surgery quality improvement program.* Surg Endosc, 2014; 28:741-46.
2. Lopez-Delgado JC, Ballus J, Esteve F, Betancur-Zambrano NL, Corral-Velez V, Mañez R, Betbese AJ, Roncal JA, Javierre C: *Outcomes of abdominal surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis.* World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22:2657-67.
3. Ammar SA: *Management of complicated umbilical hernias in cirrhotic patients using permanent mesh: Randomized clinical trial.* Hernia, 2010; 14:35-8.
4. Hassan AM, Salama AF, Hamdy H, Elsebae MM, Abdelaziz AM, Elzayat WA: *Outcome of sublay mesh repair in non complicated umbilical hernia with liver cirrhosis and ascites.* Int J Surg, 2014; 12:181-85.

5. Saleh F, Okrainec A, Cleary SP, Jackson TD: *Management of umbilical hernia repair in patients with ascites: Development of a nomogram to predict mortality.* Am J Surg, 2015; 209: 302-7.
6. Chatzizacharias NA, Bradley JA, Harper S, Buttler A, Jah A, Huguet E, Praseedom RK, Allison M, Gibbs P: *Successful Surgical Management of ruptured umbilical hernias in cirrhotic patients.* World J Gastroenterol, 2015; 21:3109-113.
7. Sarit C, Eliezer A, Mizrahi S: *Minimally invasive repair of recurrent strangulated umbilical hernia in cirrhotic patients with refractory ascites.* Liver Transpl, 2003; 9:621-22.
8. Carbonell AM, Wolfe LG, Demaria EJ: *Poor outcomes in cirrhosis associated hernia repair: A nationwide cohort study of 32033 patients.* Hernia, 2005; 9:353-57.
9. Belghiti J, Durand F: *Abdominal wall hernias in the setting of cirrhosis.* Semin Liver Dis, 1997; 17:219-26.
10. Cho SW, Bhayani N, Newell P, Cassera MA, Hammill CW, Wolf RF, Hansen PD: *Umbilical hernia repair in patients with signs of portal hypertension: Surgical outcomes and predictors of mortality.* Arch Surg, 2012; 147:864-69.
11. Belli G, D'Agostino A, Fantini C, Cioffi L, Belli A, Russolillo N, Langella S: *Laparoscopic incisional and umbilical hernia repair in cirrhotic patients.* Surg Laparoscopic Endosc Percutan Tech, 2006; 16: 330-33.
12. De Goede B, Van Kempen BJ, Polak WG, De Knecht RJ, Schouten JN, Lange JF, Tilanus HW, Metselaar HJ, Kazemier: *Umbilical hernia management during liver transplantation.* Hernia, 2013; 17:515-19.
13. Krawczyk M, Mikniewiciute J, Schürholz H: *Incarcerated umbilical hernia after colonoscopy in a cirrhotic patient.* Am J Med, 2015; 128: e13-e14.
14. Yu Bc, Chung M, Lee G: *The repair of umbilical hernia in cirrhotic patients: 18 consecutive case series in a single institute.* Ann Surg Treat Res. 2015; 89:87-91.
15. McAlister V: *Management of umbilical hernia in patients with advanced liver disease.* Liver Transplant, 2003; 9:623-25.
16. Gubitosi A, Ruggiero R, Docimo G, Avenia N, Villaccio G, Esposito A, Foroni F, Agresti M: *Hepatic cirrhosis and groin hernia: binomial or dichotomy? Our experience with a safe surgical treatment protocol.* Ann Ital Chir, 2011; 82:197-204.
17. O'Hara ET, Oliari A, Patek AJJ, Nabseth DC: *Management of umbilical hernias associated with hepatic cirrhosis and ascites.* Ann Surg, 1975; 181:85-7.
18. Baron HC: *Umbilical hernia secondary to cirrhosis of the liver: Complications of surgical correction.* N Engl J Med, 1960; 263: 824-28.
19. Belghiti J, Desgrandchamps F, Farges O, Fékété F: *Herniorrhaphy and concomitant peritoneovenous shunting in cirrhotic patients with umbilical hernia.* World J Surg, 1990; 14:242-646.
20. McKay A, Dixon E, Bathe O, Sutherland F: *Umbilical hernia repair in the presence of cirrhosis and ascites: results of a survey and review of the literature.* Hernia, 2009; 13:461-68.
21. Hew S, Yu W, Robson S, Starkey G, Testro A, Fink M, Angus P, Gow P: *Safety and effectiveness of umbilical hernia repair in patients with cirrhosis.* Hernia, 2018; 22: 759-65.
22. Martens P, Laleman W: *Umbilical hernia in patient with cirrhosis.* Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine, 2015; 82:404-05.
23. Patti R, Aiello P, Caruso AM, Cudia B, Di Vita G: *The improvement of quality of life a indication for elective surgery in elderly patients with minimally symptomatic inguinal hernia.* Ann Ital Chir, 2014; 85:136-42.
24. Patti R, Almasio PL, Buscemi S, Famà F, Craxi A, Di Vita G: *Inguinal hernioplasty improves the quality of life in patients with cirrhosis.* Am J Surg, 2008; 196:373-78.
25. Feng MP, Baucom RB, Broman KK, Harris DA, Holzman MD, Huang LC, Kaiser JL, Kavalukas SL, Oyefule OO, Phillips SE, Poulouse BK, Pierce RA: *Early repair of ventral incisional hernia may improve quality of life after surgery for abdominal malignancy: A prospective observational cohort study.* Hernia, 2019; 23:81-90.
26. Petro CC, Haskins IN, Perez AJ, Tastaldi L, Strong AT, Ilie RN, Tu C, Krpata DM, Prabhu AS, Eghtesad B, Rosen MJ: *Hernia repair in patients with chronic liver disease. A 15 year single-center experience.* Am J Surg, 2019; 217:59-65.
27. Andraus W, Pinheiro RS, Lai Q, Haddad LB, Nacif LS, D'Albuquerque LA, Lerut J: *Abdominal wall hernia in cirrhotic patients: emergency surgery results in higher morbidity and mortality.* BMC Surg, 2015; 15:65.
28. Kirkpatrick S, Schubert T: *Umbilical hernia rupture in cirrhotics with ascites.* Dig Dis Sci, 1988; 33:762-65.
29. Mansour A, Watson W, Shayani V, Pickleman J: *Abdominal operations in patient with cirrhosis: still a major surgical challenge.* Surgery, 1997; 122:730-36.
30. Geraci G, Almasio PL, Mongitore M, Abbate A, Patti R, Di Vita G: *Inguinal hernioplasty in patients with cirrhosis and ascites: What preventive measures are needed for a safe procedure?* Ann Ital Chir, 2019; 90/3:252-57.
31. Banu P, Popa F, Constantin VD, Bălălaşu C, Nistor M: *Prognosis elements in surgical treatment of complicated umbilical hernia in patients with liver cirrhosis.* J Med Life, 2013; 6:538-43.
32. Marsman HA, Heisterkamp J, Halm JA, Tilanus HW, Metselaar HJ, Kazemier G: *Management in patients with liver cirrhosis and umbilical hernia.* Surgery, 2007; 142: 372-75.
33. Choi SB, Hong KD, Lee JS, Han HJ, Kim WB, Song TJ, Suh SO, Kim YC, Choi SY: *Management of umbilical hernia complicated with liver cirrhosis: An advocate of early and elective herniorrhaphy.* Dig Liver Dis 2011; 43:991-95.
34. Gray SH, Vick CC, Graham LA, Finan KR, Neumayer LA, Hawn MT: *Umbilical herniorrhaphy in cirrhosis: improved outcomes with elective repair.* J Gastrointest Surg, 2008; 12:675-81.
35. Coelho JCU, Claus CMP, Campos ACL, Cosa MA, Blum: *Umbilical hernia in patients with liver cirrhosis: A surgical challenge.* World J Gastrointest Surg, 2016; 8:476-82.
36. Myers SP, Kulkarni SS, Malik SM, Tevar AD, Neal MD: *Hernia management in cirrhosis: Risk assessment, operative approach, and perioperative care.* J Surg Res, 2019; 235: 1-7.
37. D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Pagliaro L: *Natural history and prognostic indicators of survival in cirrhosis: A systematic review of 118 studies.* J Hepatol, 2006; 44:217-31.
38. Tripodi A, Primignani M, Mannucci PM, Caldwell SH: *Changing concepts of cirrhotic coagulopathy.* Am J Gastroenterol, 2017; 112:274-81.

39. De Pietri L, Bianchini M, Montalti R, De Maria N, Di Maira T, Begliomini B, Gerunda GE, di Benedetto F, Garcia-Tsao G, Villa E: *Thrombelastography-guided blood product use before invasive procedures in cirrhosis with severe coagulopathy: A randomized, controlled trial*. Hepatology, 2016; 63:566-73.
40. Etchill EW, Myers SP, Raval JS, Hassoune A, Sengupta A, Neal MD: *Platelet transfusion in critical care and surgery: Evidence based review of contemporary practice and future directions*. Shock, 2017; 46:537-49.
41. Jie B, Jiang ZM, Nolan MT, Zhu SN, Yu K, Kondrup J: *Impact of preoperative nutritional support on clinical outcome in abdominal surgical patients at nutritional risk*. Nutrition, 2012; 28:1022-27.
42. Leonetti JP, Aranha GV, Wilkinson WA, Stanley M, Greenlee HB: *Umbilical herniorrhaphy in cirrhotic patients*. Arch Surg, 1984; 119: 442-45.
43. Elsbab MM, Nafeh AI, Abbas M, Farouk Y, Seyam M, Raouf EA: *New Approach in surgical management of complicated umbilical hernia in the cirrhotic patients with ascites*. J Egypt Soc Parasitol, 2006; 36:11-20.
43. Stabilini C, Stella M, Frascio M, De Salvo L, Fornaro R, Larghero G, Mandolino F, Lazzara F, Gianetta E: *Mesh versus direct suture for the repair of umbilical and epigastric hernias. Ten-year experience*. Ann Ital Chir, 2009; 80:183-87.
44. Ekker HH, van Ramshorst GH, de Goede B, Tilanus HW, Metselaar HJ, de Man RA, Lange JF, Kazemier G: *A prospective study on elective umbilical hernia repair in patients with liver cirrhosis and ascites*. Surgery, 2011; 150:542-46.
45. Patti R, Caruso AM, Aiello P, Angelo GL, Buscemi S, Di Vita G: *Acute inflammatory response in the subcutaneous versus periprosthetic space after incisional hernia repair: an original article*. BMC Surg, 2014; 14:91.
46. Di Vita G, Patti R, D'Agostino P, Caruso G, Arcara M, Buscemi S, Bonventre S, Ferlazzo V, Arcoleo F, Cillari E: *Cytokines and growth factors in wound drainage fluid from patients undergoing incisional hernia repair*. Wound Repair Regen, 2006; 14:259-64.
47. Di Vita G, Patti R, D'Agostino P, Ferlazzo V, Angileri M, Sieli G, Buscemi S, Caruso G, Arcara M, Cillari E: *Modifications in the production of cytokines and growth factors in drainage fluids after mesh implantation after incisional hernia repair*. Am J Surg, 2006; 191:785-90.
48. Di Vita G, Patti R, Vetri G, Macchiarella B, D'Agostino P, Caruso G, Ferlazzo V, Arcoleo F, Sammartano S, Angileri M, Cillari E: *Production of cytokines at the operation site*. G Chir, 2005; 26:241-45.
49. Di Vita G, Patti R, Vetri G, D'Agostino P, Arcoleo F, Caruso G, Arcara M, Davi V, Cillari E: *Serum VEGF and b-FGF profiles after tension-free or conventional hernioplasty*. Langenbecks Arch Surg, 2005; 390:528-33.
50. Di Vita G, D'Agostino P, Patti R, Arcara M, Caruso G, Daavi V, Cillari E: *Acute inflammatory response after inguinal and incisional hernia repair with implantation of polypropylene mesh of different size*. Langenbecks Arch Surg, 2005; 390: 306-11.
51. Di Vita G, Patti R, Sparacello M, Balistreri Cr, Candore G, Caruso C: *Impact of different texture of polypropylene mesh on the inflammatory response*. Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol, 2008; 21:207-14
52. Di Vita G, Patti R, Barrera T, Arcoleo F, Ferlazzo V, Cillari E: *Impact of heavy polypropylene mesh and composite light polypropylene and polyglactin 910 on the inflammatory response*. Surg Innov, 2010; 17:229-35.
53. Lorian J, Manaouil D, Mauvais: *Management of umbilical hernia in cirrhotic patients*. J Chir, 2002; 139:135-40.
54. Juo Y, Skancke M, Holzmacher J, Amdur RL, Lin PP, Vaziri K: *Laparoscopic versus open ventral hernia repair in patients with chronic liver disease*. Surg Endosc, 2017; 31:769-77.